

State of New York  
Department of Correctional Services

Building Number 2  
Harriman Office Campus  
Albany, New York 12226

**VIDEO TELECONFERENCING  
FOR  
DEPORTATION HEARINGS**

**April 2008**



**David A. Paterson  
Governor**



**Brian Fischer  
Commissioner**

## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

- The Institutional Hearing Program (IHP) is a Federal and State initiative that began in 1986 and was initially designed to begin deportation proceedings against criminal aliens under the Department's custody. The IHP was enhanced in 1994 in order to generate deportation orders for all deportable criminal aliens prior to their earliest release date. This enhancement was intended to promote two important public policies. First, it was designed to increase public safety by reducing the number of criminal aliens that could be released to the community. Second, it was designed to promote fiscal responsibility by minimizing the costs of incarcerating criminal aliens.
- There was a dramatic decrease in televideo deportation hearings in calendar years 2002 and 2003 which was the result of ICE staffing shortages, some of which were related to the terrorist attacks of September 11, 2001. ICE redirected more resources to the Inmate Removal Program (IRP) in 2004 at which time the number of televideo deportation hearings returned to the 2002 level.
- The number of televideo deportation hearings peaked in 2005 when the majority of cases backlogged from the 2002-2003 ICE staff shortages were adjudicated. The number of televideo hearings then decreased in 2006 and 2007.
- There may be two factors contributing to the decreases in televideo hearings observed in 2006 and 2007. First, the total number of foreign-born inmates under DOSC custody decreased by 16 percent (or 1,272 inmates) between 2004 and 2007 while at the same time, the total number of naturalized citizens who are not deportable increased by 19 percent (or 165 inmates). Second, there was an increase in efficiency attained by the Immigration Court when it had a full-time Immigration Judge assigned since the Fall of 2006 which decreased the number of continuances that occurred when circuit judges were hearing cases.
- The IHP's record of conducting over 18,000 hearings in a nine year period attests to the program's contribution to the public safety goal.
- The average round trip from the Televideo Hubs to the Downstate or Ulster reception centers where in persona deportation hearings are held is approximately 500 miles and would cost an estimated \$197 per inmate for a total of \$3,621,057 for the 18,381 inmates involved in televideo deportation hearings from those hubs during calendar years 1999 through 2007.
- The estimated cost of a televideo connection is \$3 per televideo hearing for a total of \$55,143 for the 18,381 televideo deportation hearings conducted at the Televideo Hubs during calendar years 1999 through 2007.
- The total estimated cost savings attributable to the televideo deportation hearing program is \$3,565,914 (i.e., \$3,621,057 saved in transportation costs minus \$55,143 expended in televideo connection costs). These costs savings indicate that the IHP is meeting its fiscal responsibility goal.

## THE INSTITUTIONAL HEARING PROGRAM

The Institutional Hearing Program (IHP) is a Federal and State initiative designed to begin deportation proceedings against criminal aliens under the Department's custody. The IHP has been operating in varying forms at selected Department facilities since 1986.

In April 1994, most aspects of the IHP were consolidated at the Downstate and Ulster reception centers for male inmates and the Bedford Hills reception center for female inmates. The United States Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE) has staff from the Investigations, Litigation, and Detention & Removal Units from the New York City District Office permanently stationed at Castle Point, a federal facility nearby the Downstate Reception Center. ICE sends its Castle Point investigators to Bedford Hills to interview female foreign-born inmates.<sup>1</sup>

The Executive Office for Immigration Review (EOIR) which conducts the deportation hearings has staff permanently assigned to the Downstate and Ulster Reception Centers. The Immigration Judge assigned to the Downstate Reception Center also adjudicates deportation cases for female foreign-born inmates at the Bedford Hills Reception Center.

This consolidation was designed to improve the efficiency of ICE and EOIR in obtaining final orders of deportation against criminal aliens under the Department's custody. The consolidation was also designed to expedite the actual deportation of criminal aliens when they are released from the Department's custody.

---

<sup>1</sup> ICE had its staff permanently stationed at the Downstate and Ulster reception centers from 1994 through 2004. ICE moved its staff from the reception centers to offices in the Castle Point federal facility in January 2005.

**THE DEVELOPMENT  
OF THE TELEPHONIC/TELEVIDEO  
DEPORTATION HEARING INITIATIVE**

The use of telephonic deportation hearings was first proposed by the Department and EOIR in January 1989. The primary benefit of telephonic hearings for the Department was the minimization of transportation costs associated with moving inmates from their owning facility to the Downstate Correctional Facility; the only Department facility hosting deportation hearings at the time. Telephonic deportation hearings were not implemented at that time for the following reasons.

First, an examination of the location of owning facilities that had hearings in March 1989, indicated that the majority of inmates scheduled for deportation hearings were being transported from facilities geographically proximate to the Downstate Correctional Facility. Therefore, the overall transportation savings did not appear significant enough to justify the requisite expenditures on equipment during a recessionary budget year.

Second, the use of telephonic hearings would have required an increase in the number of staff and facilities that would be directly involved in the deportation hearing process, thereby increasing the complexity of administering the deportation hearing process. State budgetary constraints prevented the acquisition of the required staff.

Finally, although the deportation hearings were centralized at the Downstate Correctional facility, two separate ICE District Offices (Buffalo and New York City) had the responsibility for litigating deportation cases. Consequently, administrative problems existed between the two ICE District Offices which would reduce the bed space savings that could be realized by the Department by increasing the duration of the deportation hearing process.

## **HEARINGS UTILIZING VIDEO TELECONFERENCING TECHNOLOGY**

Following the centralization of the IHP in April 1994, the New York City ICE District Office assumed primary responsibility for all IHP activities. The number of cases processed through the IHP increased as a result of the centralization. Correspondingly, there was an increase in the number of foreign-born inmates requiring transportation from general confinement facilities to attend their deportation hearings at either the Downstate or Ulster reception centers.

For example, the Department transported inmates to 3,531 deportation hearings in calendar year 1996; an average of 294 transports per month to and from deportation hearing sites. The numbers increased in calendar year 1997 to 4,034 deportation hearings for an average of 336 transports per month to and from deportation hearing sites.

Based upon the 1996 and 1997 transportation data presented above, the Department entered into discussions with ICE and EOIR regarding the use of the Department's newly acquired technical capabilities in the area of video conferencing. ICE and EOIR agreed in principle to the idea and meetings were held in January 1998, during which a final implementation agreement was reached.

The video conferencing of deportation hearings began as a ninety-day pilot project in the Spring of 1998. During the pilot project, only initial appearances before an Immigration Judge were scheduled for video conferencing. The pilot was also limited to three general confinement facilities and the two male reception centers. The general confinement facilities were selected to provide maximum-security inmates for the Downstate Immigration Court and medium or lower security inmates for the Ulster Immigration Court.

Medium security inmates in the Watertown Hub were transported to the Riverview Correctional facility for initial deportation hearings to be conducted by an Immigration Judge located at the Ulster reception center. Maximum-security inmates at the Attica and Wende Correctional Facilities had their initial deportation hearings video conferenced from Attica or Wende with an Immigration Judge located at the Downstate Reception Center.

Each facility hosting the deportation hearings had a senior counselor assigned to coordinate facility video conferencing operations. All activities involving the ICE, EOIR, general confinement facilities, and reception centers were coordinated by the Department's Central Office.

The pilot project was quite successful. Consequently, the Department worked with EOIR to develop a plan that would gradually expand the use of televideo deportation hearings. The expansion of the video conferencing initiative began in January 1999. Current televideo deportation hearing sites include the Clinton, Elmira, Great Meadow, Oneida, Watertown, and Wende Hubs. The Department also conducts point-to-point video conferencing for individual facilities that do not have a sufficient number of inmates to warrant a regular hearing schedule.

## HEARING ACTIVITY

The dramatic decrease in televideo deportation hearings in calendar years 2002 and 2003 was the result of ICE staffing shortages, some of which were related to the terrorist attacks on September 11, 2001. However, the number of televideo deportation hearings increased during calendar year 2004 and slightly exceeded the 2002 calendar year level. This recovery occurred primarily during the second half of the 2004 calendar year when ICE redirected more resources to the Inmate Removal Program (IRP). The benefits of the redirection of resources by ICE continued to be apparent in 2005 when the number of televideo hearings increased by 73 percent (or 1,292 hearings) over the 2004 level.

The monthly average number of televideo hearings in 2007 was 153. The 1,834 televideo hearings in 2007 reflected a 10 percent decrease from the 2006 level of 2,046, and a 40 percent decrease from the 2005 level of 3,061. There may be two factors that explain why the number of televideo hearings decreased by 1,015 hearings between 2005 and 2006, and by 212 hearings between 2006 and 2007. First, the total number of foreign-born inmates under DOSC custody decreased by 16 percent (or 1,272 inmates) between 2004 and 2007 while at the same time, the total number of naturalized citizens who are not deportable increased by 19 percent (or 165 inmates). Second, there was an increase in efficiency attained by the Immigration Court when it had a full-time Immigration Judge assigned since the Fall of 2006 which decreased the number of continuances that occurred when circuit judges were hearing cases.

The cumulative number of televideo deportation hearings during the nine-year period beginning in January 1999 and ending in December 2007 was 18,381. As the program was being implemented in 1999, the Department conducted an average of 144 hearings per month. For the next two years, an average of nearly 200 televideo hearings per month were conducted. This was followed by a two years in which a decreasing number of hearings were held (i.e., 2002 and 2003). The number of hearings in 2004 returned to the level observed in 2002. The average monthly number of 255 televideo hearings in 2005 reflected an increase of 108 hearings over the 2004 average of 147. However, the average monthly number of televideo hearings in 2006 represented 84 fewer hearings per month conducted in 2006 as compared to 2005, and the average number of televideo hearings in 2007 represented 18 fewer hearings per month as compared to the 2006 level.

<b>TABLE 1</b>										
<b>TOTAL NUMBER OF TELEVIDEO DEPORTATION HEARINGS BY MONTH AND CALENDAR YEAR</b>										
MONTH	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	GRAND TOTAL
JANUARY	38	220	213	123	144	174	303	176	147	1,538
FEBRUARY	41	197	212	72	108	167	235	185	148	1,365
MARCH	82	292	250	124	112	118	280	234	144	1,636
APRIL	127	213	168	152	103	144	195	169	161	1,432
MAY	128	264	243	124	102	120	271	208	213	1,673
JUNE	149	209	173	177	119	35	402	190	189	1,643
JULY	197	188	185	194	125	141	299	140	143	1,612
AUGUST	115	200	207	152	77	168	255	123	98	1,395
SEPTEMBER	253	183	239	164	173	113	235	140	166	1,666
OCTOBER	232	152	209	197	140	167	249	116	149	1,611
NOVEMBER	212	160	158	136	116	230	192	228	194	1,626
DECEMBER	156	114	121	120	117	192	145	137	82	1,184
<b>GRAND TOTAL</b>	<b>1,730</b>	<b>2,392</b>	<b>2,378</b>	<b>1,735</b>	<b>1,436</b>	<b>1,769</b>	<b>3,061</b>	<b>2,046</b>	<b>1,834</b>	<b>18,381</b>
MONTHLY AVERAGE	144	199	198	145	120	147	255	171	153	1532

The Clinton, Watertown, and Wende Hubs hosted 98, 619, and 1,000 televideo deportation hearings respectively during calendar year 1999 (see Table 2). In contrast, the Elmira, Great Meadow, and Oneida Hubs hosted 4, 5, and 4 televideo deportation hearings respectively during calendar year 1999 to assist the Immigration Court with some non-routine and problem cases.

The Clinton, Watertown, and Wende Hubs hosted 407, 576, and 1,226 televideo deportation hearings respectively during calendar year 2000 (see Table 4) whereas, the Elmira and Great Meadow Hubs hosted 9 and 174 televideo deportation hearings respectively during the calendar year 2000. The increase in the number of televideo hearings hosted in the Great Meadow Hub calendar year 2000 was due to the upgrade of the Greene Correctional Facility from a part-time televideo site to a full-time televideo site in February 2000.

The Oneida Hub was added as a full-time televideo deportation hearing site in 2001. The Clinton, Oneida, Wende, and Watertown Hubs hosted 322, 348, 1,013, and 508 televideo deportation hearings respectively during calendar year 2001. The Great Meadow Hub hosted 187 televideo deportation hearings, which were all conducted at Greene Correctional Facility during the calendar year 2001. All facilities in the Great Meadow Hub became full-time televideo deportation hearing sites in June 2002.

**TABLE 2**

**NUMBER OF TELEVIDEO HEARINGS  
BY HUB**

	<b>1999</b>	<b>2000</b>	<b>2001</b>	<b>2002</b>	<b>2003</b>	<b>2004</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>Total</b>
<b>Clinton Hub</b>	98	407	322	335	299	247	538	389	269	<b>2,904</b>
<b>Elmira Hub</b>	4	9	0	0	39	52	173	97	140	<b>514</b>
<b>Great Meadow Hub</b>	5	174	187	179	187	218	420	278	230	<b>1,878</b>
<b>New York City Hub</b>	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4	<b>5</b>
<b>Oneida Hub</b>	4	0	348	243	188	276	374	246	260	<b>1,939</b>
<b>Watertown Hub</b>	619	576	508	313	164	348	496	384	318	<b>3,726</b>
<b>Wende Hub</b>	1,000	1,226	1,013	665	559	628	1,059	652	613	<b>7,415</b>
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,730</b>	<b>2,392</b>	<b>2,378</b>	<b>1,735</b>	<b>1,436</b>	<b>1,769</b>	<b>3,061</b>	<b>2,046</b>	<b>1,834</b>	<b>18,381</b>

Note: There was one televideo deportation hearing conducted at Sing Sing Correctional Facility and four hearings conducted at the Queensboro Correctional Facility to assist the Immigration Court in completing the cases in a timely fashion

## ESTIMATED COST SAVINGS

It is difficult to enumerate all of the costs associated with the deportation hearing process. However, transportation, staff, and housing costs are quantifiable. The Clinton, Elmira, Oneida, Watertown, and Wende hubs hosted 85 percent (or, 15,688) of the 18,381 televideo deportation hearings during calendar years 1999 through 2007 (see Table 2). These hubs are located at the northern and western borders of New York State. The average round trip from these hubs to the Downstate or Ulster reception centers where in persona deportation hearings are held is approximately 500 miles.

The estimated transportation, staff, and housing costs associated with an average round trip of 500 miles is \$197 per inmate (\$29 contract transportation cost per inmate + \$32 for 1 Sergeant and 2 officers working 7.5 regular hours and 1.5 hours over time + \$136 in housing costs attributable to 1 depot bed day and 3 reception bed days). Therefore, the estimated transportation, staff, and housing costs for the televideo deportation hearings held between January 1, 1999 and December 31, 2007 is \$3,621,057 (\$197 per inmate x 18,381 hearings).

The average televideo deportation hearing lasts approximately fifteen (15) minutes and the televideo connection cost is 17.5 cents per minute or almost \$3 per televideo hearing. Therefore, the estimated televideo connection cost for the televideo hearings is \$55,143 (\$3 per hearing x 18,381 hearings).

The total estimated cost savings attributable to the televideo deportation hearing program then is \$3,565,914 (\$3,621,057 transportation, staff, and housing costs minus \$55,143 televideo connection costs).

## CONCLUSION

The use of video teleconferencing technology for initial deportation hearings has been an important Federal and State initiative. The primary benefit for the Department is the reduction in transports from general confinement facilities to the reception centers in which Immigration Courts are located and the reduction in the number of reception beds required to house inmates scheduled for in persona deportation hearings. The Department has saved an estimated \$3,565,914 between January 1, 1999 and December 31, 2007.

The Department continues to work with EOIR to maintain the efficiency of the program. The reduction in ICE staffing in 2002 and 2003 clearly had a negative impact in the number of televideo hearings held during that time period. It is equally clear that the redirection of ICE resources in the fourth quarter of calendar year 2004 corresponded to an increase in the average monthly number of televideo hearings from 131 during the first nine months of 2004 to 196 during the last three months of 2004. The average monthly number of televideo deportation hearings nearly doubled between 2004 and 2005 (the average monthly number was 147 in 2004 compared to 255 in 2005). However, the average monthly number of televideo deportation hearings decreased by an average of 102 hearings between 2005 and 2007 with a decrease of 84 hearings per month between 2005 and 2007, and a decrease of 18 hearings per month between 2006 and 2007.

While it is difficult to ascertain all of the possible reasons for the decrease in televideo hearings between 2005 and 2007, there are two factors that are clearly related. First, the total number of foreign-born inmates under DOSC custody decreased by 16 percent between 2004 and 2007 while at the same time, the total number of naturalized citizens who are not deportable increased by 19 percent. Second, there was an increase in efficiency attained by the Immigration Court when it had a full-time Immigration Judge assigned since the Fall of 2006 which decreased the number of continuances that occurred when circuit judges were hearing cases.

**2006**

**TELEVIDEO DEPORTATION HEARINGS**

HUB/FACILITY	SECURITY LEVEL	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	OCT	NOV	DEC	YEAR
														TO DATE TOTAL
<b>CLINTON HUB</b>														
Adirondack	Medium	3	0	5	0	2	1	1	0	1	0	1	0	14
Altona	Medium	4	3	5	1	11	7	5	7	5	5	5	0	58
Bare Hill	Medium	10	11	13	4	13	10	10	0	10	8	8	12	97
Chateaugay	Maximum	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clinton	Maximum	4	13	4	13	9	6	3	9	3	1	6	5	71
Franklin	Medium	8	2	11	1	9	6	6	7	6	8	10	5	74
Upstate	Maximum	10	3	10	8	5	3	2	0	2	3	5	2	51
<b>SUBTOTAL</b>		<b>39</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>389</b>
<b>ELMIRA HUB</b>														
Auburn	Maximum	2	3	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	9
Cayuga	Medium	4	2	2	2	6	2	0	6	0	8	0	2	34
Elmira	Maximum	5	4	0	3	1	3	2	0	2	0	5	2	27
Five Points	Maximum	5	2	0	0	1	0	2	0	2	0	5	3	20
Southport	Maximum	3	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	0	7
<b>SUBTOTAL</b>		<b>19</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>97</b>
<b>GREAT MEADOW HUB</b>														
Coxsackie	Maximum	5	3	7	4	5	4	0	5	0	1	5	2	39
Great Meadow	Maximum	4	0	1	4	3	0	1	0	1	5	0	6	19
Greene	Medium	14	13	15	11	10	13	13	6	13	0	21	9	129
Mt. McGregor	Medium	0	1	3	6	0	2	2	0	2	0	4	4	20
Washington	Medium	6	7	7	6	3	1	6	3	6	1	0	4	46
<b>SUBTOTAL</b>		<b>29</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>278</b>
<b>ONEIDA HUB</b>														
Hale Creek	Medium	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Marcy	Medium	7	6	11	7	4	4	4	4	4	1	7	0	59
Mid-State	Medium	5	6	6	3	8	2	6	5	6	0	6	0	53
Mohawk	Medium	12	8	12	6	20	13	7	9	7	9	12	10	125
Oneida	Medium	0	1	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	4	1	0	9
<b>SUBTOTAL</b>		<b>24</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>246</b>

HUB/FACILITY SECURITY	LEVEL	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	OCT	NOV	DEC	YEAR TO DATE TOTAL
<b>NEW YORK CITY HUB</b>														
Sing Sing		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>SUBTOTAL</b>		<b>0</b>												
<b>WATERTOWN HUB</b>														
Cape Vincent	Medium	4	2	7	2	8	9	4	8	4	4	4	3	59
Gouverneur	Medium	2	10	6	4	12	13	7	0	7	10	13	11	95
Ogdensburg	Medium	8	8	7	10	6	7	6	6	6	3	7	1	75
Riverview	Medium	10	5	6	8	9	14	6	6	6	7	8	11	96
Watertown	Medium	0	6	8	5	2	2	7	5	7	6	5	6	59
<b>SUBTOTAL</b>		<b>24</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>384</b>
<b>WENDE HUB</b>														
Attica	Maximum	0	4	0	0	0	4	1	4	1	0	3	0	17
Collins	Medium	6	5	8	1	5	6	4	7	4	6	6	4	62
Gowanda	Medium	5	10	12	13	7	3	2	5	2	0	21	5	85
Groveland	Medium	0	0	7	2	3	0	2	0	2	1	4	5	26
Lakeview	Minimum	18	35	30	21	26	37	16	19	16	13	32	15	278
Livingston	Medium	3	1	7	3	5	0	2	2	2	6	4	0	35
Orleans	Medium	0	5	4	4	3	1	2	0	2	0	4	1	26
Wende	Maximum	1	0	2	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	7
Wyoming	Medium	8	6	17	15	8	15	11	0	11	6	14	5	116
<b>SUBTOTAL</b>		<b>41</b>	<b>66</b>	<b>87</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>67</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>88</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>652</b>
<b>GRAND TOTAL</b>		<b>176</b>	<b>185</b>	<b>234</b>	<b>169</b>	<b>208</b>	<b>190</b>	<b>140</b>	<b>123</b>	<b>140</b>	<b>116</b>	<b>228</b>	<b>137</b>	<b>2,046</b>

**2007**


**TELEVIDEO DEPORTATION HEARINGS**

HUB/FACILITY	SECURITY LEVEL													YEAR
		JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	OCT	NOV	DEC	TO DATE TOTAL
<b>CLINTON HUB</b>														
Adirondack	Medium	3	0	1	1	3	0	0	2	0	0	2	2	14
Altona	Medium	6	5	0	4	4	4	0	0	3	7	3	0	36
Bare Hill	Medium	9	5	0	5	10	4	4	0	0	0	9	5	51
Chateaugay	Maximum	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clinton	Maximum	0	0	10	0	8	4	8	0	0	0	6	3	39
Franklin	Medium	10	11	7	5	17	7	0	5	10	11	10	5	98
Upstate	Maximum	1	0	13	0	4	2	2	0	0	0	5	4	31
<b>SUBTOTAL</b>		<b>29</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>269</b>
<b>ELMIRA HUB</b>														
Auburn	Maximum	1	1	3	0	6	1	1	0	3	0	4	3	23
Cayuga	Medium	0	1	0	3	7	10	8	0	6	4	7	0	46
Elmira	Maximum	4	2	3	4	0	3	0	0	3	0	2	1	22
Five Points	Maximum	4	4	6	0	7	4	4	0	3	0	6	5	43
Southport	Maximum	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	1	1	6
<b>SUBTOTAL</b>		<b>10</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>140</b>
<b>GREAT MEADOW HUB</b>														
Coxsackie		4	2	0	6	3	7	6	0	0	0	0	4	32
Great Meadow		0	3	4	0	0	3	3	0	0	0	2	1	16
Greene		7	12	12	7	12	10	12	13	18	0	10	10	123
Mt. McGregor		2	1	4	3	3	5	3	0	1	0	2	0	24
Washington		4	0	4	1	0	4	0	3	6	5	4	4	35
<b>SUBTOTAL</b>		<b>17</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>230</b>
<b>ONEIDA HUB</b>														
Hale Creek	Medium	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Marcy	Medium	0	6	11	7	8	2	6	5	4	5	6	7	67
Mid-State	Medium	0	4	6	7	8	4	0	6	7	5	6	4	57
Mohawk	Medium	9	18	5	9	11	16	9	7	11	3	12	4	114
Oneida	Medium	2	2	0	2	1	3	3	0	4	3	1	1	22
<b>SUBTOTAL</b>		<b>11</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>260</b>

HUB/FACILITY		JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	OCT	NOV	DEC	YEAR TO DATE TOTAL
SECURITY	LEVEL													
<b>NEW YORK CITY HUB</b>														
Queensboro		4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
Sing Sing		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>SUBTOTAL</b>		<b>4</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>WATERTOWN HUB</b>														
Cape Vincent	Medium	5	3	5	5	6	6	7	0	8	6	6	0	57
Gouverneur	Medium	5	6	5	6	6	6	5	5	5	15	11	0	75
Ogdensburg	Medium	6	3	5	6	5	6	0	5	4	5	2	5	52
Riverview	Medium	11	3	4	2	6	4	6	3	4	5	9	0	57
Watertown	Medium	4	9	5	10	10	2	11	4	7	6	5	4	77
<b>SUBTOTAL</b>		<b>31</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>318</b>
<b>WENDE HUB</b>														
Attica	Maximum	0	0	0	2	3	4	4	0	5	0	3	0	21
Collins	Medium	0	7	0	8	4	4	3	3	3	5	2	0	39
Gowanda	Medium	10	7	5	11	15	17	7	4	11	18	9	0	114
Groveland	Medium	5	0	4	0	0	1	4	5	5	3	6	1	34
Lakeview	Minimum	15	20	16	25	27	31	12	14	15	21	20	0	216
Livingston	Medium	5	3	0	4	1	3	3	3	4	5	4	2	37
Orleans	Medium	5	0	5	7	4	5	4	0	1	5	3	0	39
Wende	Maximum	0	0	1	0	1	3	2	0	1	0	0	3	11
Wyoming	Medium	5	10	0	11	13	3	5	11	13	12	16	3	102
<b>SUBTOTAL</b>		<b>45</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>68</b>	<b>68</b>	<b>71</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>69</b>	<b>63</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>613</b>
<b>Grand Total</b>		<b>147</b>	<b>148</b>	<b>144</b>	<b>161</b>	<b>213</b>	<b>189</b>	<b>143</b>	<b>98</b>	<b>166</b>	<b>149</b>	<b>194</b>	<b>82</b>	<b>1,834</b>

**MAP OF  
NEW YORK STATE  
DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES  
FACILITIES**

# NEW YORK STATE DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES FACILITIES



## LEGEND

- MAXIMUM CORRECTIONAL FACILITIES
- MEDIUM CORRECTIONAL FACILITIES
- ▲ MINIMUM CORRECTIONAL FACILITIES
- ◆ (MINIMUM CAMPS) CORRECTIONAL FACILITIES
- R RECEPTION CENTERS
- W WORK RELEASE
- S SHOCK INCARCERATION
- A ASACTC
- ⊕ DRUG TREATMENT CAMPUS

## MAXIMUM SECURITY

- ATTICA
- AUBURN
- BEDFORD HILLS (FEMALES)
- CLINTON (ANNEX)
- COXSACKIE
- DOWNSTATE
- EASTERN (ANNEX)
- ELMIRA
- FIVE POINTS
- GREAT MEADOW
- GREEN HAVEN (ANNEX)
- SHAWANGUNK
- SING SING
- SOUTHPORT
- SULLIVAN (ANNEX)
- UPSTATE
- WENDE

## MEDIUM SECURITY

- ADIRONDACK
- ALBION (FEMALES)
- ALTONA
- ARTHUR KILL ASACTC
- BARE HILL
- BAYVIEW (FEMALES)
- BUTLER ASACTC
- CAPE VINCENT
- CAYUGA
- CHATEAUGAY ASACTC
- ULLSTER
- FISHKILL
- FRANKLIN
- GOVERNEUR
- GOWANDA
- GREENE
- HALE CREEK ASACTC
- HUDSON
- LIVINGSTON
- MARCY
- MID-ORANGE
- MID-STATE
- MOHAWK
- MT. MCGREGOR (CAMP)
- OGDENSBURG
- ONEIDA
- ORLEANS
- OTISVILLE
- RIVERVIEW
- TACONIC (FEMALES) ASACTC
- ULSTER
- WALLKILL
- WASHINGTON (ANNEX)
- WATERTOWN
- WOODBORNE
- GROVELAND (ANNEX)
- WYOMING

## MINIMUM SECURITY

- BEACON (FEMALES)
- BUFFALO
- BUTLER
- EDGECOMBE
- FULTON
- LAKEVIEW SHOCK (INCL FEMALES)
- LINCOLN
- LYON MOUNTAIN
- MONTEREY SHOCK
- MORIAH SHOCK
- QUEENSBORO
- ROCHESTER
- SUMMIT SHOCK

## MINIMUM SECURITY: CAMPS

- GABRIELS
- GEORGETOWN
- PHARSALIA

## DRUG TREATMENT CAMPUS

- WILLARD

**Prepared by:**

**David D. Clark  
Chief, Special Projects Unit**

**Program Planning, Research & Evaluation**